

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: CCMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/486,012	05/15/2000	SIMON J. FENNEY	R&GCASE305	3729
75	90 07/16/2002			
FLYNN THIEL BOUTELL & TANIS			EXAMINER	
2026 RAMBLING ROAD KALAMAZOO, MI 49008-1699			CAO, HUEDUNG X	
		2671		
			DATE MAILED: 07/16/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/486,012	FENNEY, SIMON J.				
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Huedung X Cao	2671				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period f r Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fit a, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 i	<i>May 2000</i> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disp sition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application	٦.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,10-15 and 17</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8,9 and 16</u> is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) 8,9 and 16 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		24) 4 1) 42				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) The translation of the foreign language prediction 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5 	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claim 17 is objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 17, a term "method" should be changed to "apparatus". Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. Claims 8, 9, and 16 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent can not depend on another multiple dependent claims. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 8, 9, and 16 not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-7, 10-15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagasawa (US 6,061,065).

As per claim 1, teaches a method for shading a three dimensional textured computer graphic image comprising the steps of:

Application/Control Number: 09/486,012

Art Unit: 2671

providing data defining the three dimensional computer graphic image (Nagasawa, col. 2, lines 26-29);

providing a set of surface normal vectors corresponding to the texture data for the image wherein the surface normal vectors are stored in a local coordinate system (Nagasawa, col. 6, lines 28-30);

providing data defining at least one light source and its direction illuminating the image wherein the light source is defined in the same local coordinate system (Nagasawa, col. 4, lines 25-44);

for each pixel in the image, deriving a shading value to be applied to that pixel from the set of surface normal vectors and the light source data which Nagasawa does not explicitly disclose. However, Nagasawa's surface shade becomes manifest when the reflection of the scattered light is increased implies the step of deriving a shading value as claimed (Nagasawa, col. 5, lines 41-42). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to derive a shading value to be applied to that pixel in order to render the surfaces in the graphics display image of a three dimensional object by taking into account surface characteristics and position with respect to light sources.

Claim 2 add into claim 1, in which the surface normal vectors are stored in polar coordinates (Nagasawa, col. 1, line 66-col. 2, line 7)).

Claim 3 adds into claim 1, in which the light source data is stored in polar coordinates (Nagasawa, col. 6, line 20-col. 7, line 24).

Claim 4 adds into claim 1, in which the step of deriving a shading value to be applied to a pixel comprises deriving a color value and a blending value from the light source data and

Application/Control Number: 09/486,012

Art Unit: 2671

Page 4

combining this color value with existing color data from that pixel in dependence on the blending value (Nagasawa, col., lines 20-27).

Claim 5 adds into claim 1, in which the surface normal vector is stored in Cartesian coordinates (Nagasawa, col. 7, lines 10-24).

Claim 6 adds into claim 1, in which the light source data is stored in Cartesian coordinates (Nagasawa, col. 6, lines 5-27).

Claim 7 adds into claim 5, in which for each surface normal only two of the Cartesian coordinates are stored (Nagasawa, col. 7, lines 10-24).

Claims 10-15, and 17 claim an apparatus based on a method of claims 1-7; therefore, they are rejected under a same reason.

Application/Control Number: 09/486,012

Art Unit: 2671

Inquires

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Huedung Cao** whose telephone number is (703) 308-5024.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Zimmerman, can be reached at (703) 305-9798.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Huedung Cao
Patent Examiner

CLIFF N. VO PRIMARY EXAMINER